

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/712,122	11/13/2003	John D. Pluenneke	3369-A	7679
22932	7590 10/12/2005		EXAMINER	
IMMUNEX CORPORATION LAW DEPARTMENT			DELACROIX MUI	IRHEI, CYBILLE
1201 AMGEN COURT WEST			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SEATTLE, WA 98119			1614	

DATE MAILED: 10/12/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Comment	10/712,122	PLUENNEKE, JOHN D.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Cybille Delacroix-Muirheid	1614				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	Responsive to communication(s) filed on					
<u> </u>						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims		• .				
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-7</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		·				
<u> </u>	<u> </u>					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
The oath of declaration is objected to by the Ex-	ammer. Note the attached Office	Action of form P10-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
•						
Attachment(s)		•				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa	ite atent Application (PTO-152)				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	++				

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-2, drawn to a method for reducing tumor burden, classified in class 514,
 subclass 2+.
- II. Claims 3-4, drawn to a method for inhibiting recurrence of gross cystic disease of the breast, classified in class 514, subclass 2+.
- III. Claims 5-7, drawn to a method for preventing or reducing the frequency or severity of transient ischemic attacks or strokes, classified in class 514, subclass 2+.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

The invention of Group I is directed to a method of reducing tumor burden in a human patient; the invention of Group II is directed to a method of inhibiting the recurrence of gross cystic disease of the breast; the invention of Group III is directed to a method of preventing or reducing the frequency or severity of transient ischemic attacks or strokes. Each method has a separate and distinct outcome from the expected outcome of any one or more of the other methods. For example, the expected result of a method of treating tumor burden is distinctly different from a method of reducing the frequency or severity of ischemic attacks strokes, since the desired outcome of reducing tumor burden is to arrest abnormal proliferation and metastatic spread of tumor cells, whereas the desired outcome of treating ischemic attacks or strokes is to reduce the likelihood of an ischemic attack in a patient suffering from, for example,

Art Unit: 1614

hypertension.

Additionally, each of the methods would be practiced in a distinctly different population of patients. While there may be incidental overlap in the groups of patients experiencing, for example, cancer and those experiencing, for example, strokes or gross cystic disease of the breast, the therapeutic objective, endpoints and steps required to treat such dissimilar conditions are vastly different and do not reasonably suggest the treatment of the other. Finally, the search for one Group would not be required for the other. For these reasons, the inventions of Groups I-III are considered to be independent and distinct and restriction for examination purposes is proper.

A telephone call was made to Applicant's representative on March 21, 2005 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to **Cybille Delacroix-Muirheid** whose telephone number is **571-272-0572**. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thurs. from 8:30 to 6:00 as well as every other Friday from 9:30-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, **Christopher Low**, can be reached on 571-272-0951. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/712,122

Art Unit: 1614

Page 4

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

CDM COM-

Cybille Delacroix-Muirheid Patent Examiner Group 1600